

## **DTOG Report Erratum.**

### **Section 3: Misleading Claims. Pages 35 - 36. Paragraphs 3.1.10 to 3.1.13.**

DTOG has continued to investigate the poor output figures for the Forestmoor Wind Farm and is now happy to set the record straight for the benefit of Arcus, Bolsterstone, TDC and anyone else who does not understand what is going on with regard to this wind farm.

DTOG now knows that the Ofgem ROC figures published for the Forestmoor Wind Farm at Bradworthy in Devon reflect the output of only two of the three turbines there. For some reason best known to Ofgem, the output of the third turbine in the Forestmoor Wind Farm is being reported by Ofgem as the Trannack Downs Wind Farm near Helston in Cornwall, some 65 miles away in a different county. The Trannack Downs Wind Farm application was made and refused many years ago. When the Forestmoor wind farm was consented it was done so under two separate Non-Fossil Fuel Obligation (NFFO) contracts. NFFO was the forerunner of the present Renewables Obligation (RO) scheme. Ofgem uses the names of failed wind farm schemes against original NFFO contracts without bothering to change the names to the actual wind farm in which the turbines are located, thus causing much confusion. Forestmoor is not the only wind farm to have its output figures misrepresented by Ofgem in this way.

The true capacity factor of the 3-turbine Forestmoor Wind Farm is just under 27%. DTOG had anticipated this in its report. It makes no apology for presenting the argument for progressively reducing the number of homes supplied by the Dunslad Cross Wind Farm by adding in one extra relevant factor after another, something the applicant was keen to avoid. Before reaching any final conclusions, DTOG put the 27% capacity factor back into the calculation, replacing the 19% previously used. This is clear in paragraph 3.1.31 of the DTOG report:

3.1.31 N.B. If the figures used in any part of this calculation are not correct, then it is up to Bolsterstone to supply more accurate figures in their place. **Even if Bolsterstone's claim for a capacity factor of 27.3% is left in the calculation throughout, the final result here is still only 1,998 homes supplied. This is still well short of the original figure claimed.**

### **Section 3: Misleading Claims. Page 37. Paragraphs 3.1.17.**

The URL on the second line of this paragraph should read <http://www.aweo.org> and not <http://www.awea.org>.

### **Section 5: Noise and Health Concerns. Page 111. Paragraph 5.2.61.**

The date of the correspondence should read 7th January, 2009 not 7th January, 2008.